

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/037,306	12/31/2001	Simon M. Furnish	3619-122	4514		
6440 7590 12728/2010 ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C. 1425 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			EXAM	EXAMINER		
			SMITH, RUTH S			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3737			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			12/23/2010	FLECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTO-PAT-Email@rfem.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/037,306	FURNISH, SIMON M.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ruth S. Smith	3737	

	Ruth S. Smith	3737	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 09 December 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Arno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	.ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date in have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of valued as 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the property of the p	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS 3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further core	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett _ appeal; and/or 	er form for appeal by materially rec		ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (f	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			,
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate,	imely filed amendmer	it canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an ex	cplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement(s)</i> . (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Ruth S. Smith/		
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3737	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant states that original claim 14 provides support for the claim language currently set forth in claim 51. The Examiner disagrees. Claim 14 fails to set forth that the second member secures one of the fibers and the first member secures the other fiber. As previously stated by the Examiner, the specification and figures only provide support for the second member securing the collection fiber and the first member securing the delivery fiber.